INSTALLATION RESEARCH PROJECTS (Call identifier: UIP-2019-04)
Project proposal evaluation criteria – first evaluation round

Please assess the following two criteria by selecting either YES or NO. At least two sub-questions should be positive in order to obtain a YES.

THE APPLICANT	YES	NO
1. The Applicant's achievements thus far indicate the ability to implement original scientific research on his/her own, as evident from the following: • S/he published papers in journals with international peer review ranked in the first or second quartile of journals within the scientific area in question according to relevant databases of science*, in which s/he is the lead or corresponding author; • S/he published internationally peer-reviewed papers, which cdemonstrate his/her research independence and autonomy; • S/he held lectures at international conferences and/or international universities or research organizations, workshops and summer schools; • S/he peer reviewed papers in international journals; • S/he participates in international and national research networks and/or projects in the scientific area within which the project proposal was submitted; • *national and/or international recognitions and awards for science.* ** In case the project proposal belongs to the Social Sciences or Humanities, s/he published articles and international and national A1 journals or books with international peer review).*		
2. The Applicant demonstrates the capability for establishing and managing a research group, as evident from the following: • S/he successfully applied to previous calls for post-doctoral research fellowships or research projects; • S/he underwent post-doctoral training at international institutions; • S/he supervised graduation and doctoral theses; • The research has been planned in a realistic manner with clear objectives; • His/her ability to gather researchers for the purpose of project implementation.		
3. His/her accomplishments thus far clearly demnostrate that the Applicant has been an active researcher on a continuous basis.		

PROJECT PROPOSAL	YES	NO
1. The project proposal clearly describes the development plan of the new research group (e.g. recruitment of doctoral students or post-doctoral researchers) and the roles of team members for performing project activities.		
2. The project proposal deals with a scientifically relevant matters (the research topics are currently widely present in the top journals, collections of papers or books in the area of research) and is in line with the terms and conditions of the Call defining the type of research to be financed by the present Call.		

3.	The project proposal is based on the development of a new research topic and/or methodology as an indicator for the establishment of an independent research career.		
4.	The project proposal entails scientific research whose objectives have been described in a clear manner.		
5.	The proposed methodology has been described in a clear manner and is appropriate for the proposed research.		
6.	The proposed research has a clear and relevant impact on the development of new knowledge relevant for the scientific area, profession, economy or society.		

INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT		**YES**	**NO**
1.	The Institution provides all conditions required for the achievement of objectives of the project proposal. It also secures all necessary and existing infrastructure and other conditions for the implementation of a project proposal (facilities, equipment, organizational and technical support).		

Please evaluate the project proposal by selecting only one of the options below:

    A- The project proposal is referred to the second evaluation round (peer review)

    B- The project proposal is not referred to the second evaluation round

Please justify your assessment of the project proposal (min. 50 words):